



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/623,303	12/14/2000	Gerard O'Neill	206441	2665

7590 08/15/2003

Leydig Voit & Mayer  
Two Prudential Plaza  
Suite 4900  
180 North Stetson  
Chicago, IL 60601-6780

EXAMINER

TRAN, PABLO N

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2685

DATE MAILED: 08/15/2003

6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/623,303	O'NEILL ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Pablo N Tran	2685	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) All b) Some \* c) None of:
  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

### Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Specification***

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: Base Station incorporated within a Light Pole or Street Fixture.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-3 and 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Goodwin* (5,641,141).

As per claims 1 and 6, *Goodwin* disclosed street furniture in combination with an enclosure wherein the enclosure incorporated a base station for having an antenna system to transmit/receive signals (fig. 1).

As per claims 2 and 7, *Goodwin* disclosed a power supply circuitry (fig. 4, col. 9/ln. 2-5).

As per claims 3 and 8, *Goodwin* disclosed a signaling circuitry for the base station and circuit for the light pole (fig. 1, fig. 4, col. 5/ln. 25-31).

As per claim 9, *Goodwin* disclosed the street furniture is associated with but physical separate from the enclosure (fig. 1, fig. 10).

As per claim 10, *Goodwin* disclosed the street furniture is physically combined with the enclosure (fig. 1, fig. 10).

As per claim 11, *Goodwin* disclosed the street furniture is a light pole (fig. 1, fig. 10).

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 4-5 and 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Goodwin* (5,641,141) in view of *Inoue et al.* (5,667,963).

As per claim 4-5 and 17, *Goodwin* fails to disclose a cooling or ventilating means for controlling the ambient temperature there within. However, such cooling or ventilating means are well known in the art, as teach by *Inoue et al.* (see fig. 4, col. 5/ln. 4-10). Since both disclosed such street light devices, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide such cooling or ventilating means, as taught by

*Inoue et al.*, to the street light system of *Goodwin* in order to control the heat from within the street light in order for the antenna circuitry and other circuitries function properly.

As per claim 12-16, *Goodwin* fails to specifically disclose that the street furniture is of a closed circuit television camera, a shop sign, an advertising sign, or a traffic sign. However, such is notoriously well known in the art that the examiner takes Official Notice of such. In order to provide unobtrusively visual appearance and also provide strong communication signals such base station can be mounted on such mast or support.

### ***Conclusion***

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Altschul (6,452,566), Hohnstein et al. (6,483,470), Gietema et al. (6,222,503), Jones (5,963,178), and Noone et al. (4,791,290) disclosed antenna arrangement system.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pablo Tran whose telephone number is (703)308-7941. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can be reached at (703)305-4385.

**Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

**or faxed to:**

**(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)**

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

**PABLO N. TRAN  
PATENT EXAMINER**

August 8, 2003

  
